

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,648	09/24/2001		Robert F. Sak	004122.00006	6515
22907	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BANNER O			SZMAL, BRIAN SCOTT		
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	ron, dc	20001	3736		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/960,648	SAK, ROBERT F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Brian Szmal	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	arch 2005.						
,— ,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 and 20-29 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 20-29 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 6-14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers	•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/960,648 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman (1,867,624) in view of Leet et al (5,795,309).

Hoffman discloses a biopsy device and further discloses an insertion tube (22); an introduction guide member (24), the introduction guide member (24) extending beyond an end of the tube (22); and a sampler, the sampler comprising a collecting member (30, 37, 47).

Hoffman however fails to disclose a cervical sampler with a forward end including a holder for mating with the sample collecting member and a rear end for grasping by an operator; the rear end of the cervical sampler includes a handle; the holder mates with the sampling collecting member such that the sample collecting member rotates when the handle is rotated; and the sample collecting member includes a cervical sampling brush.

Leet et al disclose a cervical tissue sampling device and further disclose a cervical sampler with a forward end including a holder for mating with the sample collecting member and a rear end (26) for grasping by an operator; the rear end of the cervical sampler includes a handle; the holder mates with the sampling collecting member such

that the sample collecting member rotates when the handle is rotated; and the sample collecting member includes a cervical sampling brush (28). See Figure 1.

Since both Hoffman and Leet et al disclose biopsy devices, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Hoffman to include the use of a cervical sampler, as per the teachings of Leet et al, since it would provide a means of obtaining cervical samples from a patient.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman (1,867,624) and Leet et al (5,795,309) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Marx (4,369,022).

Hoffman and Leet et al, as discussed above, disclose biopsy devices but fail to disclose an insertion position indicator located along a length of the insertion tube.

Marx discloses an endometrial tissue sampling device and further disclose an insertion position indicator located along a length of the insertion tube. See Column 2, line 1. Since Hoffman, Leet et al and Marx disclose biopsy devices, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Hoffman and Leet et al to include the use of a position indicator, as per the teachings of Marx, since it would provide a means to the operator to determine the position of the distal end of the biopsy device within the patient.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/960,648 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

4. Claims 3 and 6-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 20-29 are allowable since no prior art could be found teaching or suggesting inserting an introduction guide member into a vaginal insertion tube so that a portion of the introduction guide member extends outwardly from a forward end of the vaginal insertion tube and withdrawing the introduction guide member from the vaginal insertion tube, as claimed in Claim 20; and a forward end of the cervical sampler including a holder with hemispherical projections for mating with the sample collecting member, as claimed in Claim 29.

Terminal Disclaimer

6. The terminal disclaimer filed on March 2, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 6,302,853 B1 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Szmal whose telephone number is (571) 272-4733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, with second Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RS

MAX F. HINDENBURG
SEPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TACHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5